UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE at CHATTANOOGA

RODNEY KILGORE &)	
WILLIAM KILGORE)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
) Case No. 1:16-cv-34	0
v.)	
) Judge Mattice	
JOHNNY HUNTER,) Magistrate Judge St	eger
DANIEL RUSKEY, &)	
JOHNNY MCBEE,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

ORDER

On November 27, 2018, Magistrate Judge Christopher H. Steger filed a Report and Recommendation (Doc. 176) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 and the Court's Order Referring Motion (Doc. 172). Magistrate Judge Steger recommends Defendants' Motion for Attorneys' Fees Under 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and 28 U.S.C. § 1927 (Doc. 170) be denied. No objection has been filed to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.¹ Nevertheless, the Court has reviewed the record in this matter and agrees with the Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned conclusions. Accordingly, the Court **ACCEPTS** and **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Steger's findings of fact and conclusions of law. Defendants' Motion (Doc. 170) is **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED this 17th day of December, 2018.

/s/Harry S. Mattice, Jr.
HARRY S. MATTICE, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ See Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 148-51 (1985) (noting that "[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a de novo or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings").